The 4th May, 1983

No. ID/FD/85/83/20963.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workmen and the management of M/s Nirman Industries, 145/24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below, being either matters in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the workmen are entitled to the grant of bonus at the rate of 20% for the year 1981-82? If so, with what details?

ASHOK PAHWA,

Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour & Employment Departments. The 29th April, 1983

No. ID/YMN/319-B/75/20091.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Laxmi Parshad and the management of (i) M/s. Managng Director, Haryana Matches Ltd., S.C.O. 32, 33, 34, Sector 17-C, Chandigarh, (ii) M/s Haryana Matches Ltd., Yamuna Nagar, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted, —vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Laxmi Parshad was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

The 3rd May, 1983

No. ID/FD/29/83/20584.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workwoman Smt. Sharda Rani and the management of M/s Toyo Cone Paper Co. (India) Pvt. Ltd., 14/3, Mathura Road, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968, under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Smt. Sharda Rani was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/BHN/25/83/20591.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Devki Nandan and the management of M/s K. C. Textiles Ltd., Pandu Pindara, Jind, regarding matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)-Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shrl Devki Nandan was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?